BP803T · B.Pharm Semester VIII · Theory Notes

Industrial Pharmacy and Facility Design

Unit II — Technology Development and Transfer (9 hours)

Unit II (9 hours)

Pills & Python Notes

From-zero teaching standard: every technical term is defined before use.

Syllabus coverage: Unit II (9 hours).

PILLS & PYTHON

Pharmacy • Data • AI • Healthcare

Instagram @pillsandpython · pillsandpython.com · YouTube @PillsAndPython

CHAPTER 3: Technology Development and Transfer

1. Learning Objectives

After studying this chapter, you should be able to:

1 Define technology transfer, sending unit (SU), receiving unit (RU), technology transfer protocol, gap analysis, and due diligence in simple words.

2 Explain the WHO guidelines on technology transfer and the role of a technology transfer protocol.

3 Describe the stages of transfer of a product from R&D to production, and list the common reasons why such transfers fail.

4 Explain quality risk management in technology transfer, including risk identification, assessment, and mitigation, with a simple illustrative risk matrix.

5 Describe the "granularity" of technology transfer — the levels of detail from full process know-how to documents-only transfer.

6 List the contents of a technology transfer dossier and other documentation used in transfer.

7 Describe premises and equipment considerations during transfer, including compatibility and utility checks.

8 Explain qualification (DQ/IQ/OQ/PQ) and validation as applied to a transferred product.

9 Explain analytical (QC) method transfer, including the method transfer protocol and the concept of comparative testing.

10 Name Indian technology transfer agencies — APCTD, NRDC, TIFAC, BCIL, TBSE/SIDBI — and describe, in general terms, the role each plays.

11 Explain the purpose and typical contents of confidentiality agreements, licences, and MoUs, and discuss legal issues such as IP ownership, liability, and dispute resolution in general terms.

2. Definitions

Technology — In the pharmacy industry, technology means the complete practical knowledge needed to make a medicine: the recipe (formula), the manufacturing process steps, the quality checks, the specifications, and the skills needed to do all this correctly.

Technology transfer (TT) — The planned, documented movement of technology — knowledge, processes, documents, and sometimes equipment or people — from the place that developed a product (the sending unit) to the place that will manufacture it at commercial scale (the receiving unit), so that the product made at the new site has the same quality as the original.

Sending unit (SU) — The site or organisation that currently holds the technology and gives it out. Example: an R&D centre or a company that owns the product formula.

Receiving unit (RU) — The site or organisation that receives the technology and will manufacture the product. Example: a factory of the same company at another location, or a different company taking a licence.

Technology transfer protocol — A written, pre-approved plan that describes exactly what will be transferred, who will do it, how it will be done, what results are acceptable, and by when. It is the roadmap of the transfer.

Gap analysis — A systematic comparison of what the sending unit has and does, versus what the receiving unit has and can do, to find the "gaps" (differences) that must be closed before transfer. For example: the SU makes 10-kg batches but the RU's mixer only holds 50 kg — that difference is a gap.

Due diligence — A careful, honest check carried out before agreeing to a transfer: verifying that the technology really works as claimed, that it is legally clean (no patent problems), and that the receiving unit can actually handle it.

Licence — A formal permission given by the owner of a technology to another party to use that technology, under agreed conditions.

Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) — A written statement in which two parties record that they agree in principle to work together; it sets the intent and broad terms before a final contract.

Confidentiality agreement (also called non-disclosure agreement, NDA) — A written promise that secret information shared between parties will not be given to anyone else.

3. Terminology Table

Term	Simple meaning
Technology transfer (TT)	Planned movement of product know-how from a sender to a receiver
Sending unit (SU)	The site that gives the technology
Receiving unit (RU)	The site that receives and will manufacture the product
Technology transfer protocol	The written, approved plan for the transfer
Gap analysis	Comparing SU and RU to find differences that must be fixed
Due diligence	Checking before agreement that the technology is sound and legal
Technology transfer dossier	The bundle of all documents describing the technology
Granularity (of TT)	How deep the transfer goes — full hands-on training vs documents only
DQ / IQ / OQ / PQ	Design, Installation, Operational, Performance Qualification — checks that equipment is fit for purpose
Method transfer	Moving a laboratory test method from one QC lab to another
Comparative testing	Both labs testing the same sample to compare results
APCTD	Asian and Pacific Centre for Transfer of Technology (UN-linked body in the Asia-Pacific region)

Term	Simple meaning
NRDC	National Research and Development Corporation (Indian government body that commercialises research)
TIFAC	Technology Information, Forecasting and Assessment Council (Indian body for technology foresight and transfer support)
BCIL	Biotech Consortium India Limited (supports biotech commercialisation and transfer)
TBSE / SIDBI	Technology Bureau for Small Enterprises (linked to SIDBI) — supports technology access for small enterprises
MoU	Memorandum of Understanding — a recorded agreement in principle
Licence	Permission from the technology owner to use the technology
Quality risk management	Finding, judging, and reducing risks to product quality

4. Detailed Explanation (from Absolute Zero)

4.1 What is technology transfer — and why do we need it?

Technical concept: Technology transfer is the structured movement of product and process knowledge from a sending unit to a receiving unit, following WHO guidelines.

Simple explanation: Imagine your mother has a perfect recipe for a sweet. She knows exactly how much sugar, how long to cook, and the exact trick for the right texture. Now you want to make the same sweet in a hostel kitchen with different vessels and a different stove. If your mother just gives you a handwritten recipe and walks away, will your sweet taste the same? Probably not. You need the full knowledge — the recipe, the technique, the equipment tips, and maybe one practice round with her watching. That is technology transfer: moving the *complete ability to reproduce a product at the same quality* from one place to another.

Example: A company's R&D lab in Mumbai develops a new antacid tablet. The company wants to manufacture it at its factory in Ahmedabad. The formula, process steps, in-process checks, and specifications are transferred from the R&D lab (SU) to the factory (RU) under a written protocol. Only when the factory's tablets match the R&D lab's tablets in quality is the transfer complete.

Text diagram:

```
SENDING UNIT (R&D lab, Mumbai)          RECEIVING UNIT (factory, Ahmedabad)
──────────────────────────────          ──────────────────────────────────
Formula + process know-how              Receives documents + training
Development reports                     Demonstrates it can reproduce
Master batch records                    Makes trial batches
Specifications & test methods           Quality matches? → Transfer DONE
```

Key takeaway: Technology transfer is not "sending documents by email" — it is the transfer of the *ability to make the same quality product*, proved by results.

4.2 The WHO technology transfer guidelines

Technical concept: The World Health Organization (WHO) has published guidelines on technology transfer in pharmaceutical manufacturing (in the WHO Technical Report Series).

Simple explanation: WHO is the United Nations' health agency. Because medicines are made and moved across countries, WHO wrote guidance explaining how technology transfer should be planned and done so that the receiving site makes medicines of the same quality. The guidelines describe: who is responsible (SU and RU), what the transfer protocol should contain, how risks should be managed, what documents are needed, and how qualification, validation, and method transfer should be handled. Indian companies follow these guidelines, especially when they make medicines for export or for international programmes.

Key takeaway: WHO TT guidelines are the internationally accepted "rule book" for doing technology transfer in a quality-assured way. (Refer to the latest edition of the WHO Technical Report Series — see References.)

4.3 Transfer from R&D to production — the stages

Technical concept: Transfer typically happens in stages: development → feasibility/assessment → pilot → commercial-scale transfer, each with documentation.

Simple explanation: You don't jump from a lab experiment to a factory overnight. Think of learning to swim: first in a small pool with an instructor, then in a bigger pool, and only then in a river. Technology moves in steps so problems are caught early, when they are cheap to fix.

Stages (step by step):

1. **Research and development (at SU):** The product is developed at lab scale. Formula, process, and test methods are finalised.
2. **Feasibility and gap analysis:** The RU studies the SU's technology and compares it with its own facilities. Gaps are listed and closed (new equipment, training, utility upgrades).
3. **Transfer protocol approval:** A written protocol is prepared and approved by both SU and RU — this is the plan.
4. **Pilot/engineering batches at RU:** The RU makes small trial batches (pilot batches) using the transferred documents, with SU support. Problems are recorded and solved.
5. **Scale-up at RU:** Batch size is increased toward commercial scale; the process is adjusted only in a controlled, documented way.
6. **Qualification, validation, and method transfer:** Equipment is qualified, the process is validated, and QC test methods are transferred (see sections below).
7. **Transfer report and closure:** Results are compared against the protocol's acceptance criteria. If all pass, the transfer is declared complete and the report is approved.

Key takeaway: TT is staged — develop, assess, plan, pilot, scale up, qualify, validate, close — so that quality is proved at every step.

4.4 Why transfers fail — common causes

Simple explanation: Transfers fail when something important is missing or misunderstood. The most common causes are:

- Incomplete or unclear documentation from the SU (missing "tricks of the trade").
- Gaps between SU and RU equipment that were never analysed or closed (different mixers, different materials of construction).
- Untrained or insufficient staff at the RU.
- Rushed timelines — skipping pilot batches or validation.
- Poor communication between SU and RU teams (assumptions instead of written confirmation).
- Test methods that were never properly transferred, so the RU cannot measure quality correctly.
- Changes made during transfer without documentation or approval.

Key takeaway: Most transfer failures are documentation, equipment, people, or communication failures — all preventable with a good protocol.

5. Classifications

5.1 Types of technology transfer (by situation)

Type	What happens	Example
Internal transfer	Within the same company, from R&D to its own factory, or factory to factory	R&D Mumbai → factory Ahmedabad
External transfer	From one company to a different company (licence or contract)	Innovator company → generic manufacturer
International transfer	Across countries	Technology from a European firm to an Indian plant

5.2 Granularity of transfer — levels of detail

What "granularity" means: Granularity means how fine or coarse the level of detail is. In TT, it means: how deep does the transfer go? From a full, hands-on transfer of everything to a bare documents-only handover.

Level	What is transferred	When used
Full know-how transfer	Documents + hands-on training + SU experts posted at RU + joint trial batches	Complex products; RU has little experience
Partial transfer	Documents + limited training and support	RU is experienced; product is straightforward
Documents-only transfer	Only the dossier, formulas, and records — no training	RU already makes a very similar product; technology is simple and well-standardised

Key takeaway: Choose granularity based on complexity and the RU's experience. A complex product with an inexperienced receiver needs full know-how transfer; a simple product with an experienced receiver may manage with documents only.

5.4 Types of licences (conceptual)

Type	Meaning
Exclusive licence	Only one licensee may use the technology in the agreed scope
Non-exclusive licence	The owner may license the same technology to multiple parties
Sole licence	One licensee plus the owner may use it

5.5 Types of technology transfer documentation

Document	Purpose
Technology transfer protocol	The approved plan
Technology transfer dossier	The complete bundle of technology documents
Development/technical reports	Background data from the SU
Master formula and batch manufacturing record	How to make the product
Specifications and test methods	How to check quality
Validation reports	Proof that equipment/process work
Transfer report	Final record: what was done, results, acceptance, closure

6. Mechanisms / Process Flows

6.1 The technology transfer protocol — what it contains (step by step)

A TT protocol is written before work starts and approved by both SU and RU. It contains:

1. **Objectives** — what the transfer aims to achieve (e.g., "manufacture Product X at RU with quality equivalent to SU").
2. **Scope** — what is included and what is not (product, strengths, batch sizes, sites).
3. **Responsibilities** — who does what: SU team, RU team, QA of both sides; names/roles of the transfer team.
4. **Materials and methods** — raw materials, equipment, manufacturing steps, in-process controls, test methods to be used at RU.
5. **Acceptance criteria** — what results will count as "pass" (defined in the protocol, e.g., batch results within approved specifications — never invented; criteria come from the approved product specifications).
6. **Timelines** — planned start and end dates for each stage.
7. **Documentation** — which documents will be produced and where records will be kept.

8 **Risk management plan** — identified risks and how they will be controlled (see section 6.2).

9 **Deviation handling** — what happens if something goes off-plan (record, investigate, approve corrections).

6.2 Quality risk management in TT

What "risk" means here: A risk is the chance that something goes wrong and harms product quality — for example, a different mixer at the RU might give poor blending and non-uniform tablets.

The risk management process:

1 **Risk identification** — List what could go wrong. Ask: what is different between SU and RU? (Equipment, scale, materials, people, environment.)

2 **Risk assessment** — For each risk, judge two things: how *likely* it is (probability) and how *bad* it would be (severity). Combine them to get a risk level.

3 **Risk mitigation** — Decide what to do about each risk: reduce it (extra trials, tighter controls), or, for very low risks, accept it with justification. Never ignore a high risk.

Illustrative risk-matrix example (numbers invented for teaching only):

Suppose the RU's tablet press is a different model from the SU's. The team assesses:

Risk	Likelihood (1–5)	Severity (1–5)	Risk score (L × S)	Action
Different press → weight variation in tablets	3 (possible)	4 (high impact on quality)	12	Mitigate: run 3 trial batches, monitor weight closely, train operators
Different room humidity → moisture uptake	2 (unlikely)	3 (moderate)	6	Control: monitor RH during trials as per approved limits
Different label supplier → label mix-up	1 (rare)	5 (critical — wrong label)	5	Mitigate: barcode verification before use

How to read it: risk score = likelihood × severity. Higher scores get priority. These numbers are an **illustrative example** — real assessments use the scales defined in the company's approved procedure.

Key takeaway: In TT, risk management means: find what differs between SU and RU, judge how likely and how serious each difference is, and control the important ones before they hurt quality.

6.3 Documentation in TT — the technology transfer dossier

What it is: The dossier is the complete bundle of documents that describes the technology being transferred. Typical contents:

- Product development history and technical reports
- Master formula and manufacturing process description
- Master batch manufacturing and packaging records
- Specifications for raw materials, in-process materials, and finished product

- Analytical test methods and their validation data
- Stability data
- Equipment requirements and utility requirements
- Safety and handling information
- List of documents transferred, with version control

Key takeaway: The dossier is the "complete file" of the technology — if it is incomplete, the transfer is built on guesswork.

6.4 Premises and equipment considerations

Simple explanation: A formula that works in one factory may fail in another because the building and machines differ. Before transfer, check:

- **Compatibility:** Are the RU's equipment materials compatible with the product? (Example: a corrosive liquid needs stainless steel of the right grade, not plain iron.)
- **Capacity and scale:** Can the RU's equipment handle the planned batch size? Is the scale-up factor reasonable?
- **Utilities:** Does the RU have the needed utilities — purified water, clean steam, compressed air, vacuum, HVAC — with enough capacity and the right quality? (See Chapter 4 for these utilities.)
- **Premises:** Is the layout suitable — is there enough space, proper material and personnel flow, and segregation to avoid mix-ups and cross-contamination?

Key takeaway: Transfer the technology to a site that is *physically capable* of making it — check building, machines, and utilities first.

6.5 Qualification and validation in the TT context

Definitions (from scratch):

- **Qualification** — documented proof that equipment or a facility is suitable for its job. It has four stages:
- **DQ (Design Qualification):** checking the *design* on paper — "is this machine designed correctly for our need?"
- **IQ (Installation Qualification):** checking the *installation* — "was it installed correctly, with all parts and documents?"
- **OQ (Operational Qualification):** checking *operation* — "does it work correctly across its operating range, even without product?"
- **PQ (Performance Qualification):** checking *performance with real product* — "does it consistently do the job in actual use?"
- **Validation** — documented proof that a *process* consistently produces a product meeting its specifications.

Process validation approach for a transferred product (cautious wording): After the RU's equipment is qualified (DQ/IQ/OQ/PQ), the RU manufactures validation batches of the transferred product following the approved protocol. Samples are tested against the approved specifications. The transfer is considered successful only when the batches consistently meet all approved acceptance criteria stated in the protocol — no invented values; criteria come from the registered specifications and the approved protocol.

6.6 QC and analytical method transfer

What it is: The RU's quality-control laboratory must be able to test the product exactly like the SU's lab. Method transfer moves the *test methods* from the SU lab to the RU lab.

Method transfer protocol (what it contains):

- Objective and scope (which methods, which product)
- Responsibilities of SU and RU analysts
- Materials: same samples, reference standards, reagents
- Procedure: how the RU analyst will be trained and will perform the tests
- **Comparative testing:** both labs test the *same* samples, and results are compared
- Acceptance criteria: defined in the protocol (e.g., results of the two labs agree within the pre-approved limits stated in the protocol — criteria are set from the method's known variability, never invented on the spot)
- Documentation and approval of results

Comparative testing — concept: Take one homogeneous sample, split it, send portions to both the SU lab and the RU lab. Both labs test independently. If their results agree within the protocol's acceptance criteria, the method is considered successfully transferred — the RU lab can now be trusted.

> **Key takeaway:** A product is only as good as its testing. Method transfer proves the RU lab measures quality the same way the SU lab does.

4.7 People and responsibilities in TT — who does what?

Technical concept: The WHO approach expects a defined transfer team with clear responsibilities on both sides, overseen by Quality Assurance.

Simple explanation: A transfer is teamwork. If everyone assumes "someone else will do it", nothing gets done. A typical team:

Role	Responsibility
SU technical team	Provides documents, training, and on-site support; answers RU questions
RU technical team	Studies documents, makes trial batches, reports problems honestly
SU QA	Approves what leaves the SU; ensures documents are complete and current
RU QA	Approves the protocol, reviews results, and finally accepts or rejects the transfer

Role	Responsibility
Project coordinator	Keeps timelines, organises meetings, tracks open issues

Key takeaway: Write down who does what in the protocol — unnamed responsibilities are unowned responsibilities.

4.8 Change control during transfer

Simple explanation: During transfer, the RU will be tempted to "improve" the process — a different mixing time, a local raw-material supplier. Any change can alter quality. **Change control** is the rule: no change without a written request, assessment of its impact on quality, QA approval, and documentation. Planned improvements are fine — *secret* improvements are not.

Key takeaway: Freeze the transferred process; change it only through approved change control.

4.9 Legal and documentation instruments — confidentiality agreements, licences, MoUs

Confidentiality agreement (NDA) — what it is and its key clauses (conceptually):

Before the SU shows its secret formula to the RU, both sides sign a promise of secrecy. Key clauses usually cover:

- **Definition of confidential information** — what counts as secret (formulas, processes, data).
- **Obligations** — the receiver must protect the information and use it only for the agreed purpose.
- **Exceptions** — information that is already public is not covered.
- **Duration** — how long the secrecy lasts.
- **Return or destruction** — what happens to documents if talks fail.

Licensing — what a licence means, and types (conceptually):

A licence is the technology owner's written permission letting another party use the technology, usually in exchange for payment (such as royalties). Conceptually, licences can be:

- **Exclusive** — only one licensee gets the rights in the agreed territory/field.
- **Non-exclusive** — the owner can license the same technology to several parties.
- **Sole** — only one licensee, but the owner may also use it.

(The exact commercial terms — fees, royalty rates, territories — are negotiated case by case; this chapter does not state any figures.)

MoU — purpose and typical contents:

An MoU records that two parties *intend* to work together on a transfer. Typical contents: the parties involved, the purpose, the scope of cooperation, confidentiality expectations, tentative timelines, and a statement that detailed terms will follow in a final agreement. It aligns expectations before lawyers draft the full contract.

Legal issues — IP ownership, liability, dispute resolution (cautious, general):

- **IP ownership:** The agreement should state clearly who owns the original technology and who owns any *improvements* made during transfer — this is a frequent source of disputes.
- **Liability:** Who is responsible if something goes wrong — for example, a defective batch? Clear clauses allocate responsibility between SU and RU.
- **Dispute resolution:** Agreements usually describe how disagreements will be settled (negotiation, mediation, arbitration, or courts) and which country's law applies.
- General caution: legal terms vary by jurisdiction and deal; companies use qualified legal counsel, and students should treat the above as concepts, not legal advice.

Key takeaway: Paper protects the transfer — secrecy before talks (NDA), permission to use (licence), recorded intent (MoU), and clear terms on ownership, liability, and disputes.

4.10 Technology transfer agencies in India

APCTD (Asian and Pacific Centre for Transfer of Technology): A UN-linked centre serving the Asia-Pacific region. It promotes the transfer of technology among countries of the region — for example by sharing technology information, supporting matchmaking between technology holders and seekers, and building capacity for technology management. For Indian pharma, it is part of the international ecosystem that encourages orderly, documented technology transfer.

NRDC (National Research and Development Corporation): An Indian government enterprise that takes research results from public laboratories and universities and helps turn them into commercial products. It licenses technologies to industry, including small and medium enterprises, and supports entrepreneurs in absorbing and commercialising new technologies.

TIFAC (Technology Information, Forecasting and Assessment Council): An autonomous body under India's Department of Science and Technology. It studies where technology is heading (technology forecasting and assessment), provides technology information, and supports programmes that help Indian industry — including pharma — adopt and transfer useful technologies.

BCIL (Biotech Consortium India Limited): A company promoted by the Department of Biotechnology that supports the commercialisation of biotechnology. It helps move biotech research — such as diagnostics, biopharma processes, and agricultural biotech — from laboratories to companies through licensing, consultancy, and project support.

TBSE / SIDBI (Technology Bureau for Small Enterprises, linked to SIDBI): A mechanism aimed at small enterprises, connected with the Small Industries Development Bank of India (SIDBI). It helps small businesses find, evaluate, and acquire technologies — including through transfer arrangements — so that even small manufacturers can upgrade their processes and products.

Key takeaway: India has a support network for technology transfer — from international cooperation (APCTD) to commercialising public research (NRDC), technology foresight (TIFAC), biotech transfer (BCIL), and help for small enterprises (TBSE/SIDBI).

7. Text Diagrams / Flowcharts

Overall TT flow:

```
DUE DILIGENCE → GAP ANALYSIS → PROTOCOL (approved) → DOSSIER HANDOVER
        ↓
PILOT BATCHES AT RU → SCALE-UP → QUALIFICATION (DQ→IQ→OQ→PQ)
        ↓
PROCESS VALIDATION → METHOD TRANSFER (comparative testing)
        ↓
TRANSFER REPORT → ACCEPTANCE → CLOSURE
```

Risk management cycle:

```
IDENTIFY risks → ASSESS (likelihood × severity) → MITIGATE / CONTROL
       ↑                                                  ↓
       └──────── REVIEW (did the control work?) ──────────┘
```

---

8. Infographic Concepts

1 **"Two factories, one quality"** — a split illustration: SU on the left, RU on the right, with a bridge labelled "protocol + dossier + training + validation" connecting them; the bridge's pillars are documentation, equipment, people, and QC.

2 **Risk thermometer** — a vertical gauge from green (low risk: accept) through yellow (medium: control) to red (high risk: mitigate before proceeding), showing the likelihood × severity idea.

3 **The transfer suitcase** — a suitcase labelled "TT dossier" packed with icons: formula, batch record, specifications, test methods, validation reports, stability data.

4 **DQ→IQ→OQ→PQ staircase** — four steps climbing from "design on paper" to "installed" to "works empty" to "works with real product".

---

9. Summary Tables

Master summary — Chapter 3

Topic	One-line essence
Technology transfer	Moving the *ability* to make the same-quality product, SU → RU
WHO TT guidelines	International rule book for planned, documented TT
SU / RU	Sender of technology / receiver-manufacturer
TT protocol	Approved plan: objectives, scope, responsibilities, methods, acceptance criteria, timelines
Gap analysis	Find SU–RU differences and close them
Due diligence	Verify the technology is sound and legal before agreeing
Risk management	Identify → assess (likelihood × severity) → mitigate
Granularity	Depth of transfer: full know-how → partial → documents only
TT dossier	Complete bundle of technology documents

Topic	One-line essence
DQ/IQ/OQ/PQ	Design → installation → operation → performance checks on equipment
Method transfer	Move test methods; prove with comparative testing
TT agencies (India)	APCTD, NRDC, TIFAC, BCIL, TBSE/SIDBI — support transfer/commercialisation
Change control	No undocumented changes during transfer
Confidentiality agreement	Promise to keep shared secrets secret; defines secrets, obligations, duration
Licence	Owner's permission to use the technology
MoU	Recorded agreement in principle before final contract

10. Worked Examples and Calculations

Example 1 — Illustrative risk matrix (TT of a tablet product)

All numbers below are invented for teaching ("illustrative example").

The RU uses a different granulator from the SU. The transfer team scores each risk on a 1–5 scale:

Step 1 — Identify: "Different granulator → granule size may differ → tablet hardness may vary." Step 2 — Assess: Likelihood = 4 (quite possible, different machine), Severity = 4 (hardness affects drug release). Step 3 — Score: 4 × 4 = 16 → **high risk**. Step 4 — Mitigate: run two pilot batches at RU, check granule size and tablet hardness at every stage, compare with SU data; adjust only through approved change control. Step 5 — Re-assess after mitigation: Likelihood = 2, Severity = 4 → score 8 → **medium risk**, acceptable with continued monitoring during validation batches.

Lesson: Scoring forces the team to act on the big risks first, instead of treating every difference as equal.

Example 3 — Illustrative transfer timeline planning (numbers invented for teaching)

This is an "illustrative example" — real timelines depend on product complexity and are fixed in the approved protocol.

A transfer team plans a tablet transfer:

Step 1 — List stages with illustrative durations: gap analysis 2 weeks → protocol writing/approval 2 weeks → dossier handover 1 week → pilot batches 4 weeks → scale-up trials 4 weeks → qualification + validation 6 weeks → method transfer 3 weeks → report/closure 2 weeks. Step 2 — Add them: 2+2+1+4+4+6+3+2 = 24 weeks (about 6 months). Step 3 — Identify the critical path: pilot batches cannot start before protocol approval; validation cannot start before qualification finishes. Delays in protocol approval shift everything. Step 4 — Build in review buffers: the team adds 2 extra weeks for QA review cycles, making 26 weeks total in the protocol.

Lesson: A realistic timeline with buffers, written into the protocol, prevents the "rush and fail" pattern — one of the commonest causes of failed transfers.

Example 2 — Gap analysis (illustrative)

Item	SU (R&D)	RU (factory)	Gap?	Action
Batch size	5 kg	100 kg planned	Yes — 20× scale-up	Staged scale-up with pilot batches
Mixer type	Planetary mixer	High-shear mixer	Yes — different principle	Mixing studies, compare blend uniformity
Water	Purified water	Purified water	No	None
Tablet press	Single-punch (R&D)	Rotary press	Yes	Compression trials, weight/hardness checks
Analyst training	Experienced	New to this method	Yes	Method transfer with comparative testing

11. Analogies

1. **The recipe handover:** TT is like a master chef handing over a signature dish to a new restaurant branch — the recipe card alone is not enough; the new chefs need training, the right ovens, and a tasting panel to confirm the dish matches.
2. **The driving licence:** A licence to use technology is like a driving licence — the car (technology) belongs to someone else, but you get written permission to drive it under agreed rules.
3. **The house inspection:** Due diligence is like inspecting a second-hand house before buying — check the structure, the papers, and the neighbourhood before signing.
4. **The tailor's measurement:** Gap analysis is like a tailor measuring you before stitching — the suit (technology) must be adjusted to fit the new wearer (RU).

12. Pharmacy Relevance

- Almost every generic medicine you see in a pharmacy reached the market through technology transfer — from an innovator or R&D lab to a manufacturing plant.
- Pharmacists in industry work on TT teams: in production (making trial batches), in QA (writing protocols and reports), and in QC (method transfer and comparative testing).
- A failed transfer means delayed medicines, wasted batches, and — worst case — poor-quality products reaching patients. Good TT practice directly protects patients.
- Understanding TT helps pharmacists in regulatory affairs too, because transfers are reported to drug regulators.

13. India Context

India is often called a major supplier of generic medicines to the world, and technology transfer is the engine behind that: Indian companies routinely receive or internally transfer technologies to manufacture

affordable medicines at large scale. The Indian government supports this ecosystem through agencies described below. Because many Indian plants export medicines, they follow WHO TT guidelines so that receiving sites — in India or abroad — consistently meet international quality expectations. For B.Pharm students, this means TT is not a foreign concept: it is daily work in Indian pharmaceutical plants, and interviewers for production/QA/QC roles frequently ask about it.

14. Key Points

- TT = planned, documented movement of complete product know-how from SU to RU, proved by matching quality.
- Follow the WHO TT guidelines (latest edition) as the standard approach.
- Stages: due diligence → gap analysis → protocol → pilot → scale-up → qualification/validation → method transfer → report and closure.
- The protocol must state objectives, scope, responsibilities, materials/methods, acceptance criteria, timelines, and documentation.
- Risk management: identify → assess (likelihood × severity) → mitigate; prioritise high scores.
- Granularity: match the depth of transfer to product complexity and RU experience.
- DQ/IQ/OQ/PQ qualify equipment; validation proves the process; comparative testing proves the QC method.
- Common failure causes: poor documentation, unclosed gaps, untrained staff, rushed timelines, weak communication.
- India: APCTD, NRDC, TIFAC, BCIL, TBSE/SIDBI support technology transfer and commercialisation.
- Legal tools: confidentiality agreements protect secrets; licences permit use; MoUs record intent; IP, liability, and disputes need clear written terms.

15. Common Confusions

1 **"Technology transfer = sending documents."** No — documents are only one part. Training, trial batches, qualification, validation, and method transfer are equally essential.

2 **SU vs RU.** SU *sends* (has the technology); RU *receives* (will manufacture). Remember: **S**ends → **S**U; **R**eceives → **R**U.

3 **Qualification vs validation.** Qualification is about *equipment/facility* (is the machine fit?); validation is about the *process* (does the process consistently work?).

4 **DQ vs IQ vs OQ vs PQ.** DQ = design on paper; IQ = installed correctly; OQ = operates correctly (without product); PQ = performs correctly (with real product).

5 **Licence vs MoU.** A licence is a *permission to use* technology; an MoU is an *agreement in principle* to work together — usually a step before the final contract.

6 **Gap analysis vs due diligence.** Due diligence checks *whether* to do the transfer (is the technology sound and legal?); gap analysis finds *what must change* to do it (SU vs RU differences).

7 **"Comparative testing means the RU copies the SU's result."** No — both labs test the same sample independently; agreement *within pre-approved criteria* proves the method works at the RU.

8 **NDA vs licence vs MoU.** The NDA protects *secrets* before talks; the MoU records *intent* to cooperate; the licence gives *permission to use* the technology. They are three different papers for three different jobs.

9 **"Once the protocol is approved, we can relax."** No — the protocol is the plan; discipline during execution (change control, deviation handling, honest reporting) is what makes it succeed.

---

16. Common Mistakes

- Starting trial batches before the protocol is approved.
- Treating the dossier as complete without checking version control (old formula version transferred by mistake).
- Skipping pilot batches to "save time" — then failing at commercial scale, which costs far more.
- Changing the process during transfer without documenting it (uncontrolled changes).
- Forgetting method transfer — the RU makes the product but cannot test it reliably.
- Using invented acceptance criteria instead of the approved specifications and protocol criteria.
- Signing agreements without clarity on who owns improvements made during transfer (IP disputes later).

---

17. Mnemonics

- **TT stages — "Please Do Good Planning; People Should Verify, Then Make Reports Confidently":** **P**rotocol (after) **D**ue diligence → **G**ap analysis → **P**ilot → **S**cale-up → **V**alidation → **M**ethod transfer → **R**eport → **C**losure. (Simpler: **D-G-P-P-S-V-M-R-C**: "Doctors Give Patients Proper Safe Verified Medicines, Really Carefully".)
- **DQ/IQ/OQ/PQ — "Design, Install, Operate, Perform":** think **D-I-O-P** = "Does It Operate Properly?" — each letter is a deeper check.
- **Protocol contents — "OSCAR-RMTD":** **O**bjectives, **S**cope, **C**riteria (acceptance), **A**... (Responsibilities), **R**... — simpler: **"O-S-R-M-A-T-D"** = **O**bjectives, **S**cope, **R**esponsibilities, **M**aterials/methods, **A**cceptance criteria, **T**imelines, **D**ocumentation.
- **Risk steps — "I AM":** **I**dentify, **A**ssess, **M**itigate.

---

18. Exam Summary

Technology transfer is the planned, documented movement of complete product and process knowledge from a sending unit (SU) to a receiving unit (RU) so the RU can manufacture the product with equivalent quality, following WHO guidelines. Key terms: SU sends, RU receives; the TT protocol is the approved plan; gap analysis finds SU–RU differences; due diligence verifies the technology before agreement. The protocol contains objectives, scope, responsibilities, materials/methods, acceptance criteria, timelines, and documentation. Quality risk management = identify → assess (likelihood × severity) → mitigate, often shown in a risk matrix. Transfer stages: due diligence → gap analysis → protocol → pilot batches → scale-up → qualification (DQ/IQ/OQ/PQ) → process validation → analytical method transfer (comparative testing) → transfer report and closure. Granularity = depth of transfer (full know-how → partial → documents only), chosen by product complexity and RU experience. The TT dossier bundles all technology documents. Common failure causes: incomplete documentation, unclosed equipment gaps, untrained staff, rushed timelines, poor communication. Indian TT agencies: APCTD, NRDC, TIFAC, BCIL, TBSE/SIDBI support transfer and commercialisation of technologies. Legal instruments: confidentiality agreements (protect secrets), licences (permission to use technology), MoUs (agreement in principle); legal issues include IP ownership, liability, and dispute resolution — all handled in clear written terms, in general and cautious language.

---

19. One-Minute Revision

TT moves *ability*, not just papers, from SU to RU under WHO guidelines. Plan it in a protocol (objectives, scope, responsibilities, methods, acceptance criteria, timelines). Check gaps, manage risks (identify–assess–mitigate), pilot before scaling, qualify equipment (DQ→IQ→OQ→PQ), validate the process, transfer the test methods by comparative testing, then report and close. Match granularity to complexity. In India, APCTD/NRDC/TIFAC/BCIL/TBSE-SIDBI support transfer. Protect everything with confidentiality agreements, licences, and MoUs.

---

20. MCQs

1 In technology transfer, the "sending unit (SU)" is:

a) The site that receives the technology b) The site that currently holds and gives out the technology c) The regulatory authority approving the transfer d) The QC laboratory testing the product

1 A technology transfer protocol is:

a) A purchase order for equipment b) A written, pre-approved plan describing what, who, how, and acceptance criteria c) A marketing agreement between companies d) A stability study report

1 Gap analysis in TT means:

a) Analysing gaps in the building's walls b) Comparing SU and RU capabilities to find differences that must be closed c) Finding gaps in the budget d) Testing for gaps in tablet coating

1 Due diligence is carried out:

a) After the transfer report is approved b) Before agreeing to the transfer, to verify the technology is sound and legal c) Only for international transfers d) By the cleaning staff

1 In a risk matrix, the risk score is typically:

a) Likelihood + severity b) Likelihood × severity c) Severity − likelihood d) Likelihood ÷ cost

1 "Granularity" of technology transfer refers to:

a) The particle size of granules b) The level of detail/depth of the transfer, from full know-how to documents only c) The number of batches made d) The size of the factory

1 DQ in equipment qualification stands for:

a) Daily Qualification b) Design Qualification — checking the design on paper c) Drug Qualification d) Data Qualification

1 OQ differs from PQ in that:

a) OQ tests operation without product; PQ tests performance with real product b) OQ is done after PQ c) OQ is only for software d) There is no difference

1 Comparative testing in analytical method transfer means:

a) The RU copies the SU's old results b) Both SU and RU labs independently test the same sample and results are compared c) Two different methods are compared in one lab d) Testing is done twice by the same analyst

1 Which of the following is an Indian technology-transfer-supporting body?

a) NRDC — National Research and Development Corporation b) NASA c) UNESCO headquarters d) World Bank treasury

1 A confidentiality agreement (NDA) is used to:

a) Fix the price of raw materials b) Ensure shared secret information is not disclosed to others c) Hire new employees d) Approve batch records

1 An MoU (Memorandum of Understanding) is:

a) A final, detailed commercial contract b) A recorded agreement in principle to work together, before the final contract c) A type of tablet d) A cleaning procedure

Answer key: 1-b, 2-b, 3-b, 4-b, 5-b, 6-b, 7-b, 8-a, 9-b, 10-a, 11-b, 12-b

---

21. Viva / Application Questions

1 Define technology transfer in your own words. Why is simply emailing documents not enough?

2 Your company's R&D uses a 10-kg mixer but the factory has a 200-kg mixer. Which TT tool would you use to handle this difference, and what steps would you take?

3 List any five contents of a technology transfer protocol and explain why acceptance criteria must be pre-approved.

4 Explain DQ, IQ, OQ, and PQ with one simple example for a tablet coating machine.

5 Two labs get different results on the same sample during method transfer. What could be the causes, and what would you do next?

6 A transfer keeps failing at commercial scale though pilot batches were fine. Suggest three likely causes related to scale-up.

7 What is the difference between a licence and an MoU? When would a company use each?

8 Name two Indian agencies supporting technology transfer and describe in one or two lines what each does.

22. References

- WHO Technical Report Series — Guidelines on Technology Transfer in Pharmaceutical Manufacturing (refer to the latest edition).
- WHO Technical Report Series — Good Manufacturing Practices: main principles (refer to the latest edition).
- ICH guidelines on quality risk management — general principles (refer to the latest edition; confirm current version before citing).
- Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, India — GMP requirements (refer to the latest edition).